

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria. Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/896,990	07/02/2001	Michael John Bader	2001B053	1190
23455	7590 07/05/2005		EXAMINER	
EXXONMOBIL CHEMICAL COMPANY 5200 BAYWAY DRIVE			JACKSON, MONIQUE R	
P.O. BOX 21			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BAYTOWN	, TX 77522-2149		1773	

DATE MAILED: 07/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			/ L
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
0.00	09/896,990	BADER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Monique R Jackson	1773	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 № 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under №	s action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 15-20,22-27 and 29-36 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 15-20,22-27 and 29-36 is/are rejected 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or is/are objected.	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplished any accomplished any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to be a second or between the drawing(s) is objected to be a second or	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this Nationa	I Stage
Attachment(s)			
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	O-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/896,990 Page 2

Art Unit: 1773

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The amendment filed 3/29/05 has been entered. Claims 15-20, 22-27 and 29-36 are pending in the application. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

- 2. The indicated allowability of claims 15-20, 22-24, 31-33 and 36 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Kong et al. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.
- 3. Applicant is advised that should claims 16, 17, and 19 be found allowable, claims 20, 24 and 23, respectively, will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. Claims 15-17, 19-20, and 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kong et al (USPN 6,326,068.) Kong et al teaches a biaxially oriented, multilayer film comprising a HDPE skin layer, an isotactic polypropylene core layer B, an intermediate layer C, and a sealing layer D comprising a heat sealable polymer such as ethylene-propylene copolymer or EPB terpolymer, wherein the layers have thickness ranges and resulting weights that fall within the instantly claimed ranges, and wherein the sealing layer D has a thickness of less than 4 microns, preferably from 2 to 4 microns, and further comprises 1000 to 20,000ppm of an antiblocking agent, particularly a crosslinked polymethyl methacrylate, having an average

Application/Control Number: 09/896,990

Art Unit: 1773

particle diameter greater than 6 microns (hence at least 150% greater than the maximum sealing layer thickness), preferably from 6 microns to 15 microns (hence meeting the limitation that the particles have 10% greater than the thickness of the skin; Abstract; Col. 3, 40-Col. 4, line 67; Col. 5, lines 5-8 and 41-62; Col. 6, lines 3-25.) Kong et al further teaches that layer A may be metallized such as by aluminum and that the film may further include tie layers (Col. 4, lines 3-25; Examples.)

The applied reference has a common inventor and assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. Claims 18, 25-27 and 29-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kong et al. The teachings of Kong et al are discussed above. Though Kong et al teach preferred thickness ranges for the layers, Kong et al does not teach that the skin layer comprising the particulate antiblocking agent has a thickness of 5.5-10 microns as instantly claimed. However, Kong et al teach that the thickness of layers C and D may be from about 15 to 70% of the total thickness of the film with layer C being about 10 to about 90% of the thickness of layers C and D with layer D having the primary function of sealing the film and preferably being thinner than layer C. Therefore, considering the thickness of the overall film as well as the thickness of the sealing layer are result-effective variables affecting the barrier, sealing and

mechanical properties of the film, one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to utilize routine experimentation to determine the optimum film thickness and individual layer thickness to provide the desired packaging and sealing properties for a particular end use.

## Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 3/29/05 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Monique R Jackson whose telephone number is 571-272-1508. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays-Thursdays, 8:00AM-4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carol Chaney can be reached on 571-272-1284. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Monique R. Jackson Primary Examiner

Technology Center 1700

June 27, 2005